



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,422	09/30/2005	Ashok C. Patel	PAT 53958W-2	3858
26123	7590	10/09/2008	EXAMINER	
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP				HOLLIDAY, JAIME MICHELE
Anne Kinsman				
WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA				
100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100				
OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9				
CANADA				
				2617
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/09/2008		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipinfo@blgcanada.com  
aarmstrongbaker@blgcanada.com  
akinsman@blgcanada.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/551,422	PATEL, ASHOK C.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
JAIME M. HOLLIDAY	2617	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) JAIME M. HOLLIDAY. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mukundan Chakrapani (Reg. No. 60,879). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 October 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/VINCENT P. HARPER/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and Examiner discussed the notice of non-compliant amendment mailed 9/10/2008. Applicant's representative notified current Examiner that the previous Examiner did not examine the correct set of claims, and that this issue was addressed with the previous Examiner. The present Examiner of record discussed the case with her SPE and a SPRE. It was concluded the the correct set of the claims (original) were the Article 34 amendment claims. Therefore, the notice of non-compliant was incorrect. Applicant's representative will resubmit previous claims and arguments..